



Docket No.: 606682000100
(PATENT)

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of:
Oliver ROTTCHER

Application No.: 09/883,729

Confirmation No.: 2466

Filed: June 18, 2001

Art Unit: 2851

For: MIRROR HAVING A PORTION IN THE
FORM OF AN INFORMATION PROVIDER

Action: Magda Cruz

RESPONSE TO ELECTION OF SPECIES REQUIREMENT

MS Amendment
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

In response to the Action dated August 3, 2006, applicants provisionally elect to prosecute species II, claims 59, 60 and 74, *with traverse*. Applicants respectfully request reconsideration of the election of species requirement for at least the three reasons stated below.

(1) All of the claims now subject to the requirement were presented by the applicants in the amendment that accompanied the RCE filed on May 24, 2006. Those claim amendments were in accordance with the personal interview that took place between the undersigned and the Examiner on May 16, 2006.

That interview summarized the prosecution history of this application. Specifically, the Office Action dated July 27, 2004 stated that claims 6-8 and 14-18 were objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitation of the base claims and any intervening claims. Accordingly, applicants rewrote claims 6-8 and 14-18 in independent form, including all of the limitation of the base claims and any intervening claims, as new claims 57-72 in the